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Abstract
This program aims to improve Indonesian migrant workers’ English conversation proficiency, run by Indonesian Diaspora Network Global. The program helped 35 workers from Hong Kong, Taiwan, Singapore, and Malaysia. Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta assisted IDN Global with teaching materials and facilitators composed of three lecturers and three students. Understanding the workers’ need to master English skills, an intermediate English course program was designed with food as its theme. The program was conducted via Zoom every Wednesday from 21.00-23.00 Indonesian Western Time. Each meeting consisted of a lead-in, vocabulary activities, group discussion, class discussion, and reflection. Based on the reflection, interview, and the participants’ presentation activity, this program had been more conducted with a better structure in developing the participants’ involvement, confidence, and ability to communicate in English.

Keywords: english conversation training, intermediate level, indonesian migrant workers

INTRODUCTION

Indonesian migrant workers or pekerja migran Indonesia (PMIs) are scattered around the world, and they contribute to the national income. There are 276,553 Indonesian migrant workers composed of 85,316 males and 191,237
females (Badan Nasional Penempatan dan Perlindungan Tenaga Kerja Indonesia, 2020). BNP2TKI (2020) also stated 98% of workers are graduates of elementary school (88,881), middle school (101,440), and high school (82,242), and most of them (more than 50%) work in nonformal sectors as domestic workers: nannies, babysitter, caregiver, and housemaids. Some studies showed that education plays an important role in workers’ wages or salaries (Aini et al., 2018; Kan et al., 2022).

However, Indonesian migrant workers still have lower English mastery, compared the Filipino workers, and Indonesian workers consequently earn lower income (Maliki et al., 2022). According to Renandya (2018), Indonesian English proficiency level is ranked 10th out of 20 countries. In addition, Cudy (2022) stated that Indonesia has the lowest percentage of good English speakers (30.8%) compared to other Asian countries such as Malaysia (72.2%), Singapore (63.8%), Philippines (49.8%), Sri Lanka (40%) and India (30.9%). Meanwhile, according to the study conducted by Li, et al. (2022), English proficiency is significantly correlated to a country economic development of non-English-speaking countries. Indonesia, a non-English-speaking country, necessarily prioritizes English learning.

Nishanti (2018) mentioned 11 reasons for non-English speakers learning English. Among others, English is widely used around the world as a mother tongue or second language and it is essential as a travel, business, and entertainment language. Besides, English helps language users to understand other foreign languages which play a very critical role in bridging linguistic and cultural barriers. Most of all, English is considered flexible and easy to learn. Therefore, it will be beneficial for PMIs to learn English to improve their ability to communicate in an international cycle for economic, entertainment, and social reasons.

In an interview with Kartini Sarsilaningsih (the President of Indonesian Diaspora Network Global 2021-2023), she stated that understanding the need to improve migrant workers’ English mastery worked as a method to improve the quality of human resources. As a result, IDN Global initiated an English program
called English4PMI for Indonesian migrant workers to provide a platform and facilitators to help develop the workers’ English mastery. The program has been running two modules in which they had two main activities: English Virtual Class and English Chitchat in the Zoom platform. The two activities required volunteers to develop teaching materials, teach the classes and facilitate class activities.

Despite the English mastery of the volunteers, the problems found in the previous batches were the teaching materials and methods are not well-structured and effectively organized. This condition sometimes confused both the participants and the instructors. Hence, it was necessary to find volunteers with excellent English mastery and teaching backgrounds to assist them in developing applicable and effective teaching materials and provided suitable teaching methods for English4PMI Program.

Concerning the challenges, IDN Global collaborated with Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta to design Module 3. The goals of this program were to develop applicable and effective teaching materials, to prepare instructors and facilitators with necessary teaching methods and approaches, and to help participants develop their English communication mastery. Therefore, this program can help not only the participants to increase their English mastery but, also to equip the instructors and facilitators with sufficient skills in teaching and encouraging participants to practice English skills for future use.

METHOD

The program of Intermediate English Conversation Training for Indonesian Migrant Workers (English4PMI) includes 4 phases namely need analysis, material development, implementation, and evaluation. The process is described in Figure 1.
Figure 1. English4PMI Program Development Phases

The first phase of this program was a need analysis. This phase was aimed to accommodate the objective of each party based on the organization’s plan and participants’ desired training. Thus, IDN Global and UAJY team conducted a focused group discussion to discover the program backgrounds, the expectations, and the information regarding the participants. From this discussion, it was obtained the 35 people registering the program with their backgrounds and the time to hold the training. The participants were mostly domestic workers such as housemaids and caretakers in Taiwan, Hongkong, Singapore, and Malaysia, and factory workers in Taiwan. The participants were identified in intermediate level and they expected to be able to improve their English conversation mastery. They were split into 2 groups with equal number of participants namely Intermediate 1 and Intermediate 2 based on their proficiency level. The program was then decided to be held in 5 meetings every Wednesday from 20th July to 24th August 2022, at 9.00-10.50 pm Indonesian Western Time. The class was conducted in the evening because of the participants’ long working hours and different time zones.

The trainers of the program were three lecturers and four lecturer assistants from Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta (UAJY), and three members of Indonesian Diaspora Network (IDN) Global. The lecturers from UAJY were responsible for identifying the problems, designing the materials, and teaching the participants. Meanwhile, the members of IDN Global were responsible to teach the participants and the lecturer assistants were responsible for setting up the online class technicality and facilitating the discussions in breakout rooms.
The second phase of this program was material development. From the focused group discussion, the authors and IDN Global management decided to choose food and beverages as the theme. This theme was chosen since the participants mostly worked in domestic sectors that was closely related to their daily communication at work and social life. The purpose was that they were able to improve their vocabulary and English expression regarding the topics, as well as able to discuss the topics spontaneously in English. Both Intermediate 1 and 2 had the same topics in each meeting.

The third phase was the implementation of the program. The meeting was generally designed into several sessions such as lead-in, vocabulary activities, group discussion, class discussion, and reflection. The first 30 minutes was for lead-in and vocabulary activities. The trainers were in charge in this session to lead the class and explain the material. The next session was a small group discussion where the participants were assigned to breakout rooms and the lecturer assistants were in charge to facilitate the discussion. Following the group discussion, all participants shared the result in the class discussion. At the end of the meeting, the participants were asked to fill out a survey on their reflections of the meetings. This arrangement applied in Meeting 1 until Meeting 4.

The last phase was an evaluation of the program, which was conducted based on participants’ reflections distributed in every meeting. The learning objective of this program is to improve the participants’ fluency in describing and explaining the main topic which is about food and beverages. Therefore, to evaluate the learning achievement, the last meeting of this program was assigned as presentation day where the participants prepared and delivered a presentation in class. Besides, an interview was conducted to obtain more participants’ insights regarding the program. In addition, the team and IDN Global Management held an evaluation meeting. It aimed to improve the design of the next English4PMI program.
FINDING AND DISCUSSION

This section discusses the program application starting from the need analysis, material development, implementation, and the program evaluation. Initiating the program, a need analysis was conducted to identify participants’ levels of English mastery and to decide on topics to cover and suitable activities. Need analysis was carried out by interviewing the IDN Global management who had run a similar conversation program in the past to identify the participants’ language mastery level, topics, and learning activities. Based on the interview with the management and the participant’s responses, the materials focused on the food and beverage topics. The theme was relevant since most participants work as domestic workers who need to prepare and serve meals. The participants were expected to not only learn about the language but also discuss and present their thoughts in English. Therefore, the materials equipped them with contextual vocabulary and cultural knowledge of the topics.

After the need analysis, a material development process took place. The materials in this program were developed for five meetings and the main purpose of it was to encourage the participants to practice speaking. In general, the materials for each meeting were designed with the lead-in, vocabulary activities, group discussion, class discussion, and reflection. Both Intermediate 1 and Intermediate 2 had the same topics in each meeting. The theme of food and beverages was developed into some topics as seen in Table 1.

The next stage is the teaching-learning process. Five meetings in total were started from 20th July to 24th August 2022. The program had 35 participants divided into two classes, Intermediate 1 and Intermediate 2. During the teaching and learning process, three tutors were helped by four assistants. Every meeting was generally designed with five sections namely the lead-in section, a vocabulary activity, group discussion, class discussion, and reflection. Lead-in section was in the form of reviewing previous material or ice breaking. Foreign language learners have a high level of anxiety that stops them from trying to speak (Bilá, 2010; Horwitz, 1996; Ran et al., 2022). Therefore, ice-breaking or lead-in activities were essential as a starter for each meeting since they made everyone feel comfortable.
and less anxious. They built the participants’ confidence to speak up. Figure 2 shows the lead-in activities in the program. In the ice-breaking, the participants played games such as guessing the name of food or drink based on the ingredients. In other occasion, the participants answered warming up questions based on their experiences. For example, sharing shopping place information and tips for healthier diet. The lead-in session lasted for around 10 minutes in every meeting.

Table 1 Topics for Each Meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Meeting 1 | Shopping                           | Vocabulary on ingredients  
Expressions for shopping  
Main ingredients in different cultures |
| Meeting 2 | Cooking                            | Vocabulary on cooking  
Explaining cooking steps  
Methods of cooking in different cultures |
| Meeting 3 | Meal Serving                       | Vocabulary on meal serving  
Explaining how to serve a meal  
Meal serving in different cultures |
| Meeting 4 | Healthy Diet for a Better Life     | Vocabulary on a healthy diet  
Expressions for a healthy diet  
How to plan a healthy diet |
| Meeting 5 | Thanksgiving                       | Explaining food for traditional thanksgiving in different cultures  
How to celebrate thanksgiving in different cultures |

Figure 2 Lead-In Activities
The meeting continued with a vocabulary session, as shown in Figure 3. The main purpose of the vocabulary activity was to help the participants do better language performance in group and class discussions. According to Masrai & Milton (2018), vocabulary knowledge mainly affects language performance, even achievement. Thus, the guided task such as the vocabulary task needs to be conducted firstly so that the participants can perform better in the free guided speaking task. This activity provided participants with words according to meeting topics such as food ingredients, shopping activities, different methods of cooking, cooking utensils, vegetable cutting methods, cooking methods, words of quantity and frequency, count and non-count nouns, adjectives such as food texture and taste, adverbs of procedure, eating cultures, and diet. The vocabulary activities were conducted for 20 minutes in every meeting.

![Figure 3 Vocabulary Activities](image)

**Figure 3 Vocabulary Activities**

The next session was a group discussion activity in which all participants were divided into breakout rooms with 3-4 participants accompanied by one instructor and one facilitator. This group discussion promoted collaborative learning and encouraged the participants from the same occupation background to learn from each other. This collaborative learning is stated by Kagang and Kagan (as cited in Ishtiaq & Hussain, 2017) as a chance for the participants to encourage each other to be more responsible for achieving their common learning goals. Generally, the group discussion activity lasted for 30 minutes in the breakout rooms where participants were encouraged to answer some given questions and
speak their minds. The questions were provided according to the topic of each meeting. For example, at Meeting 1 the participants discussed questions about their favorite food, and its ingredients and then shared where they bought the ingredients. They were situated to make a shopping list that consisted of the ingredients, quantity, and where to get them.

Besides the discussion activity, they had another task variation such as a mission to accomplish. For instance, at Meeting 2 the participants chose one dish from the pictures given by the instructor, and they decided on the ingredients needed and how to prepare the dish from scratch. In Meeting 3, the provided discussion questions required them to speak further about their daily life and experiences. It was significant to provide such language learning activity that involved sharing experiences. Even though adult learners experience cognitive capacity reduction, they have more experience that is beneficial in language learning process (Dong et al., 2020). The participants discussed how to serve food and drink at a party in different countries and cultures. They also compared the habits and experiences in their home country. The other group discussion at Meeting 4 allowed them to share their perspectives on diet. They discussed whether they ate healthy food or not and it turned out that some of them had a misconception about a healthy diet. The examples of the discussion questions are described in Figure 4.

Figure 4 Group Discussion Activities
During the discussion, the instructors and assistants worked as facilitators who helped when participants found problems producing their sentences. Most participants asked for help to check on their word choice and grammar. The facilitator and assistants gave direct feedback to the participants since it is considered more helpful for learners. The direct feedback leads the participants to experience less confusion and the possibility of making mistakes (Ahangari & Amirzadeh, 2011; Zohrabia & Ehsanib, 2014).

Following the group discussion, participants were drawn back to the main room since they had to present the discussion result in the class discussion. Each group chose a person representing the group to report their discussion or mission as it is shown in Figure 5. The other participants might ask questions or help their friends answer questions from different groups. In this session, participants found it fun to join the discussion since they had different answers and experiences related to the questions. They explored each other’s experiences such as how to get fresh vegetables and fruit, halal ingredients, and live chicken from wet markets instead of frozen chicken from supermarkets in the different countries where they were working. The class discussion usually happened for around 30 minutes.

Figure 5 Big Group Discussion Activities
At the end of the class, the students were asked to do a reflection by filling in a form using Google Forms. They reflected on the activities they had in the meetings and what they felt during the sessions. The Google Forms provided space to write anything they wanted to share about the meeting. They also could review on the materials and their performance. Overall, the participants enjoyed the learning process as they had opportunities to practice their speaking skills. Some of the participants suggested that the class was conducted in longer duration.

Meeting 1 until Meeting 4 implemented the same stages of teaching-learning process. However, as the final meeting, meeting 5 had different agenda from the previous ones that this aimed to measure the participants’ learning achievement in joining this program. This meeting was aimed at giving chances for the participants to deliver a presentation with the topic “Thanksgiving”. The participants were asked to find a tradition in the recent countries and explained the philosophy, the food, the cooking process, and the serving. Then, the participants were divided into several groups and were sent to breakout rooms to prepare the presentation. The preparation was deliberately done during the meeting because they could not make time to gather and make the presentation in a group due to the difference in working hours. Based on the experience from the previous module, the participants rarely did assignments given as homework. Therefore, the preparation was done in Meeting 5. After being given the time to prepare, the participants returned to the main room and presented their topic to the class. Their presentations showed that most participants were able to deliver their topics well and clearly. However, they still need to work on their accuracy on grammar and word choice. This result becomes an input for the next program materials and tasks development.

To get feedback regarding the program, an interview was done with one participant and one tutor who also became a member of IDN Global. The first interviewee was Ketut Astiti, a migrant worker from Ngawi, East Java. She has been working in Hong Kong as a domestic worker for 12 years. She joined the program from Modul 1 and stayed until Modul 3. Her motivation for joining the program were to be able to practice her English and improve her communication
skills. She usually used English when meeting her Filipino friends or people who cannot speak Cantonese, the local language in Hong Kong.

During the interview, it turned out that Ms. Ketut learned English at the Indonesian Open University, and she earned a bachelor’s degree. However, she was not confident with her ability to speak the language. She felt that she lacked English vocabulary and was afraid that her counterparts did not understand her sentences. Therefore, she thought that joining this English Program at IDN Global is beneficial to improve her English skills and her confidence. Despite her tight schedule of study and working, she still managed to join the program. This indicated her motivation of learning English was categorized as a passion to achieve a better life. This supports the study conducted by Hussain, et al. (2020) that mentioned desire to live better could be the strongest motivation.

Compared to the first and second modules, the third module was considered more organized, and she could learn a lot of new vocabulary about food and drink, cooking, and symbolic meanings different cultures have related to food. She thought that she had increased her vocabulary a lot and could use the words properly in conversation. She was also happy to see that her counterparts could understand her speech. This fact had increased her self-confidence and she now felt good when speaking English.

Ms. Ketut felt that the third module was good, but the class activities needed improvement. Since participants were asked to discuss and prepare a presentation in the second session of every meeting, they were excited to present the reports in class. However, sometimes they could not be present as the session had come to an end. This fact killed their motivation to prepare a presentation. Therefore, class time management needs to be improved. She also suggested that the list of the vocabulary be handed out before the meeting so participants could learn and try to use the words in class. The vocabulary knowledge is still crucial to succeed in

The second interviewee was Ms. Catha who was one of E4PMI tutors at Modul 3 program. She also had a role as a member of the program organizer. According to the interview, she stated that Modul 3 program had run very well. She even considered the program as the most well-structured program compared to
the previous ones (Modul 1 and 2). The coordination and administration were done smoothly. She and the team found the collaboration with Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta accommodating and helpful.

Ms. Catha stated that the materials for Modul 3 had been enhanced in quality since they matched the students’ levels. In terms of teaching quality, she argued that Modul 3 provided eligible tutors and facilitators who understand teaching methodology. She was aware that not all the volunteers who could speak English would also be able to teach English. Therefore, instructors’ accuracy in language learning and teaching skills was urgently required. Regarding the students’ participation in the program, Ms. Catha noticed that this program had developed students’ confidence. Some participants told her that they felt appreciated when joining the class. They were grateful for the prestige of being taught by lecturers’ willingness to provide time for students to teach. In addition, the facilitators were so friendly that made the students feel more relaxed about being involved in discussions. This finding agrees with an empirical study on students’ points of view conducted by Bacquet (2019) proving that there is an obvious relationship between the students’ comfort and speaking confidence.

Ms. Catha suggested some points for improvement. First, the materials should have provided more assignments that support students to study out of class. In other words, the participants’ learning autonomy should be encouraged as it positively correlates with learning achievement (Tomy et al., 2020). She considered that students must practice and repeat what they had learned to master the language. Second, the students’ grammar accuracy needed to be improved. Therefore, the materials should provide more tasks, and the tutors must integrate the grammar lesson into the materials. Third, the tutors needed to focus on the student interactions besides the teacher-student interaction. She observed that the tutors had not built enough opportunities for students to talk more with the others and still positioned them as mediators in the students’ discussion.
CONCLUSION

The program of English 4PMI has been implemented according to the objective, which is to improve the English conversation proficiency. The class consisted of lead-in, vocabulary activities, group discussion, class discussion, and reflection. From the review, most of the participants stated that the program was well organized and improved their confidence in speaking English. IDN Global added that the program was more structured in terms of the administration and management. For the next terms, the participants expected that more time in class discussion so that all participants got a turn to present.
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